

Poster Presentation Rubric for NAU's Undergraduate Symposium

<p>Poster Appearance</p>	<p>Poster does not have coherent organization or is difficult to follow</p> <p>Design impairs ability to understand and interpret information</p> <p>Font sizes detract from the readability; graphics do not enhance text</p> <p>More than five misspellings or grammatical errors</p>	<p>Poster is acceptable but requires significant work to be visually appealing</p> <p>Organization does not adequately assist the viewer in understanding order without narration</p> <p>Font may be inappropriately sized in areas; graphics adequately enhance the text</p> <p>4-5 misspellings or grammatical errors</p>	<p>Poster is adequately appealing and somewhat creative; could be improved through better design</p> <p>Organized so viewer can mostly understand without narration</p> <p>Uses appropriate font sizes/variation to facilitate readability; graphics enhance the text</p> <p>1-3 misspellings or grammatical errors</p>	<p>Visually appealing and effective in presenting the necessary information</p> <p>Organized logically so the viewer can understand without narration</p> <p>Font sizes/variation facilitate the organization and readability; graphics are engaging and enhance text</p> <p>No misspellings or grammatical errors</p>
<p>Presence, Speaking Style, and Delivery</p>	<p>Reads all or most from notes</p> <p>Demonstrates incomplete knowledge of topic by responding inaccurately or inappropriately to questions</p> <p>Does not discuss research in terms appropriate to audience</p>	<p>At least half the time reading from notes</p> <p>Demonstrates some rudimentary knowledge through responses to questions</p> <p>Discussed research in terms too elementary or too technical for audience</p>	<p>Frequently refers to notes</p> <p>Demonstrates knowledge of the topic by responding appropriately to questions but at times fails to elaborate</p> <p>Generally discussed research in terms appropriate to audience, only having to explain a few technical terms</p>	<p>Well-rehearsed and well communicated</p> <p>Demonstrates extensive knowledge on the topic by responding appropriately to all questions</p> <p>Discussed research in terms appropriate to the audience</p>
<p>Overall Impression</p>	<p>Presentation lacks creative and original elements or was delivered ineffectively</p>	<p>A few creative touches but mostly presented with little originality</p>	<p>Professional quality presentation</p> <p>It sparked my interest and increased my knowledge</p>	<p>Very interesting; original, clever, and creative approach</p> <p>Captures audience's attention</p>

What did you enjoy most about the presentation?

What suggestions would you make for improvement?

Other comments: